

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/981,247	10/16/2001	Leon Gurevich	7181	7181 6011		
•	7590 07/03/2003					
PAUL M. DENK 763 South New Ballas Rd. St. Louis, MO 63141			EXAMINER			
			UNDERWOOD, DONALD W			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3652			
			DATE MAILED: 07/03/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				_			
`c	7	Application No.	• -	Applicant(s)		98			
	Office Action Summary	09/		Gurevia	ch	et a			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	<u> </u>				
	The MAH INC DATE of this access in	Underwa	ve	3652					
j Penod io			_		ress				
- Exten after t - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, exply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, howe within the statutory min ill apply and will expire:	ever, may a reply be time imum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from to	ely filed will be considered timely. he mailing date of this com	Imunicat	ion.			
1)区	Responsive to communication(s) filed on _ ら	1/09/13							
2a) <u></u> □	•	→ s action is non-fi	nal.						
3)[	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for fo	mal matters or	secution as to the	merit	e ie			
Dispositio	Clused ill accoldance with the bracice linder F	-y nama Ousvia	1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.	mone	, 10			
4) 🛛	on of Claims  Claim(s) 1-4, 9 17-27  Claim(s) is/are pending in the application	•							
	اه) Of the above claim(s) محمود is/are withdraw		ation						
		ii iioiii considera	auon.						
6)🔯 (	Claim(s) <u>「' ', ゚゚゚</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>' ' ', ゚゚</u> is/are rejected.								
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirer	nent		•				
Application	on Papers								
	he specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)□ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accept								
\	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held	l in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)[2][7]	he proposed drawing correction filed on <u>०५/१५/०</u>			ed by the Examiner.					
40\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply		on.		•				
	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	miner.							
	ider 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p	priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-	(d) or (f).					
	All b) Some * c) None of:		4						
_	. Certified copies of the priority documents				٠.,				
•	Certified copies of the priority documents								
	. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure e the attached detailed Office action for a list of	au (PCT Rule 17	7 <i>2(a</i> \\		age				
	knowledgment is made of a claim for domestic				oplicat	(noi			
a) [	$\square$ The translation of the foreign language provi	sional applicatio	n has been recei	ved	-pnout				
15)∐ Ac	knowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35	U.S.C. §§ 120 a	nd/or 121.					
Attachm nt(s	•	•							
2)  Notice of the control of the con	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5111	nterview Summary (f Notice of Informal Pat Other:	PTO-413) Paper No(s). tent Application (PTO-1	52)	.•			
S. Patent and Trademark Office TO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office Action Summary									

## **Detailed Action**

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 2-4, 9 and 17-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 17, this claim appears inaccurate. The phrase "said parts are moved along said slide" in line 15 is inaccurate. Also "said members" in line 11 should be --said at least one structural member-- or --each said structural member--.

Regarding claim 18, the meaning of the phrase "in along" in line 7 is unclear. Clarification is required.

Regarding claim 21, "said rake" lacks a clear antecedent basis.

Regarding claim 22, "said gripper" lacks a clear antecedent basis. Further the gripper and rake should be positively correlated to define an operative device. For example, each needs and actuator and needs to be located to work together.

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of

Art Unit: 3634

the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 2, 9, 17-20 and 24-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over newly cited Crockett.

It would have been to use the modular unit in Crockett in any conventional parts processor for assembling, testing and/or packaging parts.

6. Claims 2-4, 9, 17-20 and 23-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagai et al in view of newly cited Crokett.

It would have been obvious to substitute cylinder actuators for the actuators in Nagai in view of the teaching in Crockett. This would have been an obvious substitution of equivalents.

Regarding applicants' parts processor, such is met by Nagai.

7. Claims 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagai et al in view of newly cited Crockett as applied to claim 20 above, and further in view of Loock.

It would have been obvious to use plural parts moving structure in Nagai to enhance production in view of the teaching in Loock (elements 6). This structure is synonymous with the rake.

Application/Control Number: 09/981,247

Art Unit: 3634

8. Applicants' remarks have been carefully considered but are not deemed

persuasive. While Nagai fails to show a cylinder actuator such devices are well known

as far back as 1977 as per Crockett. Patentability lies in a system utilizing these

actuators in a particular way, i.e., to move a gripper and rack in a specific cooperation

and not in the use of cylinder actuator in a modular unit per se as set forth in the instant

claims.

9. Newly cited Takahashi et al and Schneid disclose cylinder actuators.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to D. Underwood

at telephone number (703) 308-1113.

Underwood/kn June 30, 2003

JNALD W. UNDERWOOD PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 4